This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

BLACK BORDERS

IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES

FADED TEXT OR DRAWING

BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING

SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES

COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS

GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

OTHER:

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.

☐ REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/342,917	06/30/1999	HIROAKI SUGIURA	862.2900	7289	
5514	7590 10/19/2004		EXAM	INER	
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO			WANG, JII	WANG, JIN CHENG	
	30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		2672		
		DATE MAILED: 10/19/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/342,917	SUGIURA, HIROAKI				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jin-Cheng Wang	2672				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period who Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Ju	ly 2004.	,				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E.	x <i>parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-6,11 and 12</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1, 3-6 and 11-12</u> is/are rejected.	•					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	lrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	. ,				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau		Jugo				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	d.				
	•					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary ((PTO-413)				
2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				
	<u> </u>					

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Claims 1, 3-6, and 11-12 are pending in the present application. Claims 1, 6, 11 and 12 have been amended.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed July 23, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. As addressed below, Komaki teaches the claim limitations. In response to applicant's argument that the cited portions of Komaki disclose no integer computation, however, Komaki discloses the interpolation formula in col. 9-10 requires the integer computation in which the arithmetic expression has a multiplication between integers and a division by an integer divisor (*see* column 9-10 and 13; Figs. 11-37). Komaki discloses grids arranged at non-uniform intervals and a constant having a large value greater than a value corresponding to a maximum interval of the grids (e.g., a constant value of power of 2 is a large value greater than a value corresponding to a maximum interval of the grids; *see* col. 9. line 61 to col. 11, line 14 and col. 13).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2672

Claims 1, 3-6 and 11-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Komaki U.S. Patent No. 5,883,821 (hereinafter Komaki).

Re claim 1, Komaki teaches a data conversion method of performing image processing on image data expressed in plural components by using a multi-dimensional look-up table (LUT) and outputting processed image data comprising the steps of setting grid positions (selecting the grid positions) of the multi-dimensional look-up table. obtaining output data of grid points of the multi-dimensional look-up table which corresponds to the input image data (col. 1, lines 61-67), generating a weight table to store weight values corresponding to the plural components based on the set grid positions wherein the weight values are calculated by an integer computation (e.g., 1 – $(dy+dz)/2^n$ are calculated by an addition between integers 0 < dy, $dz < 2^n$ and division by the integer 2ⁿ; see col. 9-11 and 13; Figs. 4-37), and are multiplied by a constant which is a large value greater than a value corresponding to a maximum interval of the grids (a constant of power of 2 such as 2ⁿ is a large value greater than a value corresponding to a maximum interval of grids; see col. 9-11 and 13), obtaining the weight values corresponding to the plural components of input image data by referring to the weight table (col. 9-11 and 13), calculating the processed image data which corresponds to the input image data by interpolation using the obtained output data, the obtained weight values and the constant (col. 2, lines 10-30; col. 9-11 and 13). In other words, Komaki teaches data transformation corresponds to data conversion as claimed. Data conversion is converting one data into another and data transformation is converting Art Unit: 2672

data too. Furthermore, Komaki transforms output data for a point from a sample point such as a grid point in a three dimensional look-up table (LUT). He teaches the input signals R, G, B is interpolated and the values are stored in the look-up table (LUT). In addition, Komaki transform output data for a point from a sample point such as a grid point in a three dimensional look-up table (LUT). Also, a function must be determined for the purpose of converting pixel color representations into known quantities of colored. printer inks, typically with the amount expressed as an integer in the range of 0 to 255 for each of cyan, magenta, yellow, and black. The function accepts input values for the variables red, green, and blue, and produces output values which represent quantities of cyan, magenta, yellow, and black. Other color spaces in use as either input or output spaces include the colorimetric spaces which represent color based on the tristimulus values that represent a standard observer as defined by the Commission Internationale de I'Eclairage. CIE L*a*b* CIE L*u*v* and CIE XYZ are three spaces. In addition, Komaki discloses grids arranged at non-uniform intervals and a constant such as 2ⁿ which is a large value greater than a value corresponding to a maximum interval (n) of the grids (col. 9. line 61 to col. 11, line 14). The interpolation to be performed becomes an eight point interpolation using eight grid point data when k is eight and the interpolation space becomes cubic. The interpolation to be performed becomes a five point interpolation using five grid point data when k is five. The shape of the solid body to express the interpolation space is then variable depending upon selection of the five grid points.

Komaki does not specifically teach the claim limitation of "the interpolation is executed by an integer computation and uses the constant as a divisor".

Application/Control Number: 09/342,917

Art Unit: 2672

However, Komaki suggests the claim limitation of "the interpolation is executed by an integer computation and uses the constant as a divisor" in col. 2, lines 10-30 and col. 9-11 and 13 wherein a constant of power of 2 such as 2ⁿ has been used as a divisor in the interpolation formula and the interpolation has employed subtraction, addition and division among some integers (*see also* col. 9-11 and 13).

Therefore, taking the teaching of Komaki, it would have been obvious to incorporate a divisor and integer computation in the interpolation. Doing so would enable accuracy and efficiency without sacrificing speed or error performance.

Re claim 3, Komaki discloses the value of the constant is a power of 2 (col. 10 and 13). In other words, Komaki teaches a power of 2 as a divisor.

Re claim 4, Komaki discloses grid points are set in non-uniformity and the grid positions corresponding to each of the components are set the same (Fig. 2-3). In figure 2 and 3, Komaki discloses the grid points are equal to each other and he performs interpolation by dividing interpolation grid into equal size thus each position are the same.

Re claim 5, Komaki discloses input value is image data in one of RGB, CMY, and XYZ color spaces (col. 1. lines 17-36 and 51-67; col. 9, lines 1-34). In other words, Komaki teaches input luminance signals RGB.

Re claim 6, the limitation of claim 6 is identical to claim 1 above. Therefore, claim 6 is treated with respect to grounds as set forth for claim 1 above.

Re claim 11, the limitation of claim 11 is identical to claim 1 above except for a computer program product comprising a computer readable medium having a computer

11

Art Unit: 2672

program code. Therefore, claim 11 is treated with respect to grounds as set forth for claim 1 above except for a computer program product comprising a computer readable medium having a computer program code.

As for a computer program product comprising a computer readable medium having a computer program code, Komaki teaches a program readable by a computer (col. 4, lines 57-59). When a computer has program then executes to allow the coding to program the system.

Re claim 12, the limitation of claim 12 is identical to claim 1 above except for a computer readable medium recorded data. Therefore, claim 12 is treated with respect to grounds as set forth for claim 1 above except for a computer readable medium recorded data. As for a computer readable medium recorded data, Komaki teaches a storage medium storing a program readable by a computer (col. 4. lines 57-59). A program readable by a computer corresponds to a computer readable medium recorded data. A recorded data is a stored data.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jin-Cheng Wang whose telephone number is (703) 605-1213. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 - 6:30 (Mon-Thu).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mike Razavi can be reached on (703) 305-4713. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2672

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

jcw

MICHAEL RAZAVI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TO SHOOLOGY CENTER 2600